

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COMMISSION DIRECTIVE**

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER

☐

DATE

June 17, 2020

MOTOR CARRIER MATTER

☐

DOCKET NO.

2019-281-S

UTILITIES MATTER

☒

ORDER NO.

**SUBJECT:**

DOCKET NO. 2019-281-S - Application of Palmetto Utilities, Incorporated for Adjustment of Rates and Charges, Terms and Conditions, for Sewer Service Provided to Customers in Its Richland and Kershaw County Service Areas – Staff Presents for Commission Consideration the Office of Regulatory Staff's Motion for Leave to File Daniel P. Hunnell II's Testimony and Exhibits Under Seal; the Motion of Palmetto Utilities, Incorporated to Strike Portions of the Pre-filed Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Charles E. Loy, Daniel P. Hunnell, II, and Christina L. Seale and for Imposition of Sanctions; and the Motion of Palmetto Utilities, Incorporated to Dismiss the Petition to Intervene of Lisa Levine or, Alternatively to Strike Intervenor's Prehearing Briefs as Amended and Preclude Presentation of Testimony; and Responses to these Motions.

**COMMISSION ACTION:**

Currently pending before the Commission are three motions: (1) the motion by ORS seeking to file witness Daniel P. Hunnell, II's testimony and exhibits under seal; (2) the motion by PUI to strike portions of the pre-filed direct testimony and exhibits of Charles E. Loy, Daniel P. Hunnell, II, and Christina L. Seale, and for imposition of sanctions; and (3) the motion by PUI to dismiss the petition to intervene of Lisa Levine or, alternatively, to strike Ms. Levine's briefs and preclude her from testifying.

First, with regard to the motion filed by ORS, I move that we grant the request for ORS to file witness Daniel P. Hunnell, II's unredacted testimony and exhibits under seal and that ORS make such filing by noon on Thursday, June 18th.

I further move that we hold in abeyance PUI's motion to strike portions of the pre-filed direct testimony and exhibits of ORS witnesses Loy, Hunnell, and Seale and the accompanying request for sanctions. We will rule as to what, if any of the materials contained in the pre-filed testimony of ORS witnesses should receive confidential treatment and whether sanctions are warranted after reviewing the unredacted materials submitted by ORS.

Finally, with regard to PUI's motion to strike Ms. Levine's pre-hearing briefs and preclude her testimony, I move that we deny the Company's motion to strike the pre-hearing briefs, and that we allow Ms. Levine to testify as to matters of which she has personal knowledge and present evidence she has gathered in the course of discovery. She may present her pre-hearing brief in lieu of pre-filed testimony, but as is the case with all testimony presented by any party, it will be presented subject to any objections as to admissibility. Ms. Levine will also be permitted to present an opening statement and closing argument and to cross-examine other witnesses just like the other parties.

PRESIDING: RandallSESSION: RegularTIME: 2:00 p.m.

MOTION YES NO OTHER

BELSER

☐☒☐

voting via videoconference

ERVIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HAMILTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HOWARD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
RANDALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
WHITFIELD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
WILLIAMS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Absent</u>

voting via videoconference  
voting via videoconference  
voting via videoconference  
voting via videoconference  
voting via videoconference  
Military Leave

(SEAL)

RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding

